



The rise and decline of full-fledged Retakaful

By: Chakib Abouzaid

*London, 23-24
February 2016*

The rise and decline of the full-fledged Retakaful model

- *Retakaful Landscape; History and present;*
- *Is the present provision of Re-Takaful fit for purpose?*
- *Are there ways in which Re-Takaful provision can be improved in the light of the last two decades of experience?*
- *Conclusion*

Retakaful

- **2 Generations:**

- ✓ **Before 2005:**

- *2 Retakaful, IIRCo., ITRCo., ARIL , today disappeared;*
 - *BEST Re, on run-off, since 2013-2014;*

- ✓ **Since 2005:**

- ✓ *Takaful Re, full fledged currently on Run -off:*
 - ✓ *Hannover Re, growing ;*
 - ✓ *Swiss Re Takaful;*
 - ✓ *Munich Re Takaful (Family Takaful only)*
 - ✓ *MNRB Takaful; full fledged; on run-off currently*
 - ✓ *ACR Takaful SEA + Bahrain; currently struggling to survive*
 - ✓ *Al Fajr, currently Emirates Re*
 - ✓ **Windows:**
 - ✓ *Labuan Re;*
 - ✓ *Africa Re; special focus on African markets;*
 - ✓ *Tunis Re*
 - ✓ *Arab Re; very small portfolio;*
 - ✓ *Gen Re;*
 - ✓ *Malaysian Re Takaful; new window*

Types of Retakaful

- *Full fledged, writing Takaful business exclusively;*
 - *Hybrid model, mainly;*
- *Writing conventional “Halal” Business;*
 - *Hybrid or Waqf models;*
 - *Substantial capital;*
- *Subsidiaries of International Groups*
 - *Hybrid or Waqf models;*
 - *Benefit from parent expertise, capacity and/or capital;*
- *Windows of regional players*
 - *Benefit from parent company capital and expertise;*
 - *Most of them are regional to accommodate their existing clients;*

Retakaful Companies(1) (*)

	ARIL	BEST Re	Takaful Re	Hannover Re	Labuan Re Takaful	MNRB Takaful
Launching	1997	1985	Dec 2005	2006	Retakaful Division 2007	Dec 2006
Capital (millions \$)	Paid USD14.1	100	125 paid	55 paid up 135 Authorized		(US 31m)
Shareholders	Syrikat Takaful IDB	Salama	Arig IDB	Hanover Re	Labuan Re	MNRB
Rating	Non	BBB+ (S&P) A- (AM Best)	BBB stable (S&P)	A+ stable (S&P)	AM Best A- Fitch IFS A- (stable)	A-
Takaful Model	<i>Mudharaba</i>	-	<i>Wakala policyholder's Mudharaba for investment</i>	Wakala/ Mudharaba	Pure Wakalah Retakaful	Wakalah, optional Mudharabah/
Business Model	<i>Takaful / Conventional Mix</i>	<i>Conventional / Takaful Mix</i>	<i>Takaful only Outsourcing Arig</i>	Retakaful only; Now doing also Conventional	Conventional + Takaful	Takaful Cos only in treaties. Fac conventional halal risks

(*) Extract form a 2009 ITS PPT; Companies in grey closed or in run-off

Retakaful Companies (2)

	ACR ME + SEA	AL FAJER Re Emirates Re	SAUDI Re	Tokio Marine
Launching	2008	May 2008	2008	September 2004
Capital Million \$	300	188 Now 120	267	Auth 170 Paid 17
Shareholders	Khazana + Dubai Group	GFH + Dubai Group	Al Ghosaibi, Jordan Islamic Bank (listed)	Tokyo Marine Holding
Rating	A- AM BEST	Al Fajer was "A-" Now "B++" positive outlook AM BEST	BBB+ stable S & P	AA S & P
Takaful Model	Wakala + Mudharaba	Wakala + Mudharaba	Cooperative	
Business Model	Takaful + Conventional	Takaful+ Conventional	Takaful+ Conventioael	Life

(*) Munich Re & SwissRe windows are not included

Weakness of Retakaful

- *Shareholders short term strategy; opportunistic approach, looking for returns, mainly for investment;*
- *The current size of Takaful markets; without Iran, total GWP 14.7 in 2014, including KSA (50%);*
- *Ceded business is around 30% or less, because companies are mainly Motor & medical;*
- *Leakage of business from Takaful to conventional reinsurers till now;*
- *Underlying Takaful business is not performing well;*
 - *Takaful: new entrants, writing mainly Motor, Medical and under priced LOB;*
 - *Very competitive markets, especially in MENA;*
- *Rating: without an “A” rating, Retakaful cannot lead Treaties, and therefore are penalized by different terms and additional commissions;*
- *Structure of portfolios: 80% + proportional treaties; 20% Non-Proportional treaties and Facultative;*
- *Underwriting bouquets across the Board i.e. they cannot have a selective approach;*

Weakness of Retakaful

- *Unbalanced Portfolios, volatility and insufficient reserves to face CAT-NAT or severe losses;*
- *Limited geographical spread, with concentration in MENA and SEA*
- *High losses frequency in Motor and Medical impacting the Net L/R*
- *High Wakala; makes de-facto the C/R > 100*
- *Regional players lack of expertise, in comparison with international players;*
- *Impact of 2008 financial crash: very low interest rates; Sukuk returns and;*
- *Sanctions on Iran, Sudan and Syria closed these three markets to Retakaful;*
- *Regulation: in very competitive markets, lack of regulation is not helping Takaful, and therefore Retakaful to achieve technical profits; in KSA, actuarial pricing is a “blessing” for the market;*

From the Retakaful experience, adopting a full- fledged model, with current market conditions is not the “recipe for success”;

Strengths of Retakaful

▪ **International reinsurers:**

- ✓ *Positive brand impact: Swiss Re, Munich Re, Hannover;*
- ✓ *Economies of scale; and from advantageous treaties conditions;*
- ✓ *Low operating cost, implies very low Wakala and ability to generate surplus or at least to break-even;*
- ✓ *Huge capacities; reduced retrocession cost; pricing and leading programs;*
- ✓ *Expertise in Life and sophisticated LOB;*
- ✓ *Long term strategy;*
- ✓ *Diversification; less accumulation from same territories;*

▪ **Regional reinsurers:**

- ✓ *Conventional business;*
- ✓ *Portfolio diversification;*
- ✓ *Close and knowledge of their markets;*
- ✓ *Progressive portfolio build-up and operating cost reduction;*

Is the present provision of Re-Takaful fit for purpose?

- *Full fledged, writing Takaful business exclusively;*
 - *This model is not working, as the volume and quality of Takaful business are not “good enough” to make it profitable;*
- *Writing conventional “Halal” Business;*
 - *Can be successful; however, the experience needs time and volume to prove the model superiority;*
 - *Need for diversification: Life, Industrial and Commercial risks;*
 - *Need for regional diversification;*
- *Subsidiaries of International Groups:*
 - *Family Takaful: the experience appears positive*
 - *General Takaful: after a period of negative performances, a real improvement has been observed;*
- *Windows of regional players:*
 - *We do not have enough data, therefore, regional players should publish their “stand alone” FS to assess their performances;*

Are there ways in which Re-Takaful provision can be improved in the light of the last two decades of experience?

- *Retakaful should be part of an international reinsurer;*
and/or:
- *Have a large portfolio diversification;*
- *Act a leader with an “A” rating;*
- *Accept conventional business;*
- *Have a long term strategy;*

Remaining positive...

Retakaful was a missing link in the Takaful chain; therefore, it still needs to be developed and encouraged; multiple reasons for being optimistic;

- *Muslim world young population;*
- *Existing potential for Takaful; insurance / Takaful steady growth in MENA and SEA;*

To succeed, Retakaful should:

- *Adopt a Takaful mindset and comply with Shari'a, even when accepting conventional;*
- *Geographical and by LOB diversification and improve their underwriting performance;*
- *Avoid accumulated deficits by writing-off any Qard; or no surplus, no dividend;*

Thank you;



(Regulated by DFSA)